


REMARKS

Examiner Interviews

Applicants note that the Examiner indicated in the Restriction Requirement mailed April 20, 2006 that no claims were generic (see third paragraph on each of pages 3 and 4). Applicants disagreed and two separate phone calls to the Examiner were made to address this point. On August 18, 2006, Birgit Millauer and Jeff Bernhardt spoke with the Examiner and it was agreed that claims 162 was in fact generic. On January 19, 2007, Jeff Bernhardt spoke with the Examiner and it was agreed that claim 165 was in fact generic.

Species Election

The Examiner has indicated that the Applicants' September 20, 2006 response was not fully responsive to the species election requirement of the April 20, 2006 Office Action. Specifically, the Examiner has required an election of species for each moiety in formula (VIII) as recited in claim 165.



Accordingly, the Applicants make the following species elections.

R^1 and R^2 : **SEQ ID NO: 209** (as previously elected in Applicants response of Sept. 20, 2006)

n1: **zero**

n2: **zero**

n3: **zero**

n4: **zero**

x: **one (1)**

y: **zero**

Lk: **lysine amide**

As described above, claim 165 is generic. As such, upon allowance of claim 165 Applicants will request consideration of claims to the non-elected species.

Serial No.: 10/659,207
Filing Date: September 9, 2003

CONCLUSION

Please direct any calls in connection with this application to the undersigned at (415) 781-1989.

Respectfully submitted,
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

Dated: Feb. 12, 2007
Customer Number: 32940
Dorsey & Whitney LLP
Intellectual Property Department
Telephone: (415) 781-1989
Facsimile: (415) 398-3249

By: J.P. Bernhardt
Jeffery P. Bernhardt, Reg. No. 54,997 for
Todd Lorenz, Reg. No. 39,754

Filed Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.34